Version 01 as of April 1, 2014
To be submitted to PRD

Does the Cosmological Expansion Change Local Dynamics?

Marcelo Schiffer
Physics Department
Ariel University ,Israel,*
(Dated: April 1, 2014)

It is well a known fact that the Newtonian description of dynamics within Galaxies for its known matter content is in disagreement with the observations as the acceleration approaches $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10} m/s^2$ (slighter larger for clusters). Both the Dark Matter scenario and Modified Gravity Theories (MGT) fails to explain the existence of such an acceleration scale. Motivated by the closeness of this acceleration scale and $cH_0 \approx 10^{-9} h\ m/s^2$, we analyse whether this coincidence might have a Cosmological origin for scalar-tensor and spinor-tensor theories, performing detailed calculations for perturbations that represent the local matter distribution on the top of the cosmological background. Then, we solve the field equations for these perturbations in a power series in the present value of the Hubble constant. As we shall see, for both theories the power expansion contains only even powers in the Hubble constant, a fact that renders the cosmological expansion irrelevant for the local dynamics. At last, we show what a difference a theory predicting linear terms in H makes in the local dynamics.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd,04.40.-b

arXiv:1403.7743v1 [gr-qc] 30 Mar 2014

Dark Matter or Modified Gravity

The discrepancy between the Newtonian prediction that orbital velocities within spiral Galaxies fall off as $v \sim (MG/r)^{0.5}$ away from the bulk of the galactic mass distribution and observations that reveal that in every spiral galaxy the velocity distribution reaches a plateau as the accelerations approach the value $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10} m/s^2$ [1] led to two diametrically distinct approaches to the conundrum: (i) the Dark Matter Scenario [2] where putative non-barionic dark matter with a spherical distribution involving the disk galaxy provides the needed mass deficit to conform to the observed flat rotation curves and still adhere to the Newtonian paradigm – in this case, the Newtonian potential has a logarithmic dependence on r which is what is needed to provide the flat rotation curves; (ii) Mond Scenario [3] , [4] in which the relation between the acceleration and Newtonian gravitational potential is given by

$$\vec{\nabla}\Phi_N = -\mu(a/a_0)\vec{a} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a function such that $\mu(x) \to 1$ as $x >> 1$ to recover the Newtonian limit and $\mu(x) \to x$ as $x << 1$ to reproduce the flat rotation curves of galaxies. One of the immediate consequences of this approach is the automatic reproduction of the Tully-Fisher Law that states that the galaxy luminosity of the galaxy scales as $L \sim v^4$, where v is the orbital velocity away from the mass distribution, provided that Luminosity tracks the Mass. The defenders of Mond claim that in order to the dark matter paradigm to conform to the Tully's -Fisher law , a very precise (and quite unreasonable) fine-tuning between the hallo distribution and the observed mass distribution in the galactic disk is required [5].

The MOND paradigm evolved into a relativistic equation TeVeS [6] involving the metric, a scalar and a vector field phrased in terms of a Lagrangian principle. The theory is very successful in reproducing the rotation curves in spiral Galaxies but is at odds with observed background radiation anisotropies [7]. Furthemore it is in blatant disagreement with weak lensing observations. The latter is made particularly transparent by the Bullet Cluster lensing observations [8],[9].

While the dark matter paradigm cannot explain the existence of the transition acceleration scale a_0, in TeVeS it enters as a God-Given parameter in the Lagrangian. Neither one of these possibilities is theoretically acceptable. Intriguingly, a_0 comes very close to $cH_0 \approx h10^{-9} m/s^2$ and raises the question whether the change on the dynamical behaviour has a cosmological origin. This avenue was exploited to some degree in the past [10] ,[11].

According to Birkhoff's theorem, in pure Einstein's theory the gravitational field of a spherical symmetric mass configuration is determined by the mass within a sphere of the radius of the observed point alone. Therefore we do not expect the Universe to play any role in the local dynamics. A gauge vector field is likewise of no avail; by Gauss' theorem it also depends upon the internal configuration. Thus if the Cosmological expansion is to "leak" into the Galactic dynamics, scalar, spinors or non-gauge vector fields must be called for.

In this paper we deal with a Brans-Dicke theory and carefully write down the field equations for linearised perturbations on the top of the cosmological background. In the next section we shall write down these equations in

terms of one scalar field and 3D scalar, vector and tensor fields. These equations are corrections of the dynamical equations and contain correction terms in powers of H_0 . The exact field equations are then solved perturbatively in powers of H_0. The gravitational potential contains only even powers of H_0 and we expand it up to H_0^4. It turns out that all corrections are way too small to play any role in the local dynamics. Then, in the following section we study a massless spinor field and show that also in this case are no linear corrections in H_0. Since there is no a priori reason for the absence of odd powers in the Hubble constant, we discuss the prospects of a linear term in H_0 and show that it brings about noticeable changes the local dynamics .

BRANS-DICKE THEORY

Brans-Dicke theory is defined by the equations of motion

$$\Box\phi = \frac{8\pi}{3+2\omega}T^M \tag{2}$$

and

$$G_{ab} = 8\pi\left(\frac{T^M_{ab}}{\phi} + T^{\phi}_{ab}\right) \quad , \tag{3}$$

where

$$T^{\phi}_{ab} = \frac{\omega}{8\pi\phi^2}(\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\nabla_c\phi\nabla^c\phi) \tag{4}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8\pi\phi}(\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi - g_{ab}\Box\phi) \tag{5}$$

and the matter and vacuum energy distributions are represented by

$$T^M_{ab} = (p+\rho)V_aV_b + pg_{ab} \tag{6}$$

where $p_M = 0$ and $p_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda$, for the present state of the Universe. Consequently $T^M = -(\rho_M + 4\rho_\Lambda)$. For future reference, we recall that

$$\Box\phi = -\ddot{\phi} - 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi} \quad . \tag{7}$$

We wish to construct the field perturbations on the top of a cosmological background for the Brans Dicke Theory; they represent the local matter distribution. First things first, we start by solving the equations for the background fields. In the absence of any dimensional parameter we assume that for a short time interval (the observation time)

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = H \rightarrow \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} = \eta H \tag{8}$$

for some dimensionless $\eta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Then, with this parametrization

$$T^{\phi}_{00} = \frac{\eta H^2}{16\pi}(\omega\eta - 6) \tag{9}$$

and

$$T^{\phi}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\eta H^2}{8\pi}\left(\frac{\omega\eta}{2} + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 2 + \eta\right)a^2\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad . \tag{10}$$

We identify the energy density and the pressure exerted by the field as

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{\eta H^2\phi}{16\pi}(\omega\eta - 6) \tag{11}$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{\eta H^2\phi}{8\pi}\left(\frac{\omega\eta}{2} + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 2 + \eta\right) \tag{12}$$

Defining as usual $\rho_c = 3H^2\phi/8\pi$ and $\Omega_X = \rho_X/\rho_c$, from Friedmann's equations

$$\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda + \frac{\omega\eta^2}{6} - \eta = 0 \tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{3(\Omega_\Lambda - 1) - \omega\eta^2/2}{2+\eta} - \eta \tag{14}$$

The field equation for the Brans-Dicke field yields

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = 3\frac{\Omega_M + 4\Omega_\Lambda}{(2\omega+3)\eta} - 3 - \eta \quad . \tag{15}$$

Aiming solving the perturbed equations, we display Einstein's equations in a more convenient form

$$R_{ab} = 8\pi\left(\frac{S^M_{ab}}{\phi} + S^{\phi}_{ab}\right) \tag{16}$$

where

$$S^M_{ab} = (p+\rho)V_aV_b + \frac{\rho - p}{2}g_{ab} \tag{17}$$

and

$$S^{\phi}_{ab} = \frac{\omega}{8\pi\phi^2}(\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi) + \frac{1}{8\pi\phi}(\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi + \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\Box\phi) \quad . \tag{18}$$

There are two relevant coordinate systems, the r-frame (r^a coordinates) locally attached to the local mass distribution and the x-frame (x^a coordinates) which is the cosmological comoving frame, with $r^\alpha = a(t)x^\alpha$. The r-frame is the physically meaningful frame for local dynamics but the x-frame turns out to be much more convenient for performing calculations. Accordingly, we construct static local disturbances in the r-frame (we are not interested in galactic evolution), make a coordinate transformation to the x-frame and perform calculations,

obtaining the perturbed fields. Then, we transform them back to the r-frame. Let $h_{ab}(\vec{r})$ represent the static metric perturbations in the r-frame, then the line element is

$$ds^2 = \left(g^{(0)}_{ab} + h_{ab}(\vec{r})\right) dr^a dr^b \tag{19}$$

where $dr^0 = dt$, $g^{(0)}_{ab}$ is the cosmological smooth background. Under a 'r' to 'x' coordinate transformation the line element perturbation looks

$$h_{ab}(\vec{r})dr^a dr^b = \left[h_{00} + 2Hh_{0\alpha}r^\alpha + H^2 h_{\alpha\beta}r^\alpha r^\beta\right] dt^2 + 2a\left[h_{0\alpha} + Hh_{\alpha\beta}r^\beta\right] dx^\alpha dt + a^2 h_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta \tag{20}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ and we recall that $h_{ab}(\vec{r}) = h_{ab}(a\vec{x})$.

Inspecting this form, we express the perturbed metric in the x-frame $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ in the form: ψ, W_α and $f_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_{00} &= \psi(a\vec{x}) \quad ; \\ \tilde{h}_{0\alpha} &= aW_\alpha(a\vec{x}); \\ \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} &= a^2 f_{\alpha\beta}(a\vec{x}) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where ψ, W_α and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are to be regarded as scalar, vector and tensor fields of a flat three dimensional space . It is reasonable to assume that the global space curvature is unimportant on a local scale, thus locally we take $g^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} = a^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Similarly, the perturbation of the scalar field is static in the physical frame ,$\phi + \delta\phi = \phi\left[1 + \xi(ax^\alpha)\right]$.

We represent the local mass distribution as a disturbance of the global smooth distribution. In this case, δp stands for the pressure and $\delta\rho$ the mass density of the local matter distribution. Locally $\delta p = 0$ and $\delta\rho = \rho_G$, the local Galactic mass distribution. There is still one missing field u_a, the difference between the velocity of locally static observer in the r-frame with respect to a cosmological comoving observer. For a static observer in the local frame $x^\alpha = a^{-1}r^\alpha$ with constant r^α. Thus the corresponding velocity in the x-frame is:

$$V^a_G = \frac{(1; -H\vec{x})}{\sqrt{1 - H^2a^2x^2}} \approx (1, -H\vec{x}) \quad . \tag{22}$$

Recalling that V^b is the velocity of the cosmological comoving observer Clearly

$$u_a = g_{ab}\left(V^b_G - V^b\right) + \tilde{h}_{ab}V^b \tag{23}$$

or

$$u_a = (0, -aH\vec{r}) + \tilde{h}_{a0} = (\psi, a(-Hr^\alpha + W_\alpha)) \quad . \tag{24}$$

Preparing the ground for calculating the perturbations of the field equations we first evaluate,

$$\delta\left(\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi\right) = \xi\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi + \phi\nabla_a\nabla_b\xi + \nabla_a\xi\nabla_b\phi + \nabla_b\xi\nabla_a\phi - \gamma^c_{ab}\phi_c \tag{25}$$

where

$$\gamma^c_{ab} = \delta\Gamma^c_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_b\tilde{h}^c_a + \nabla_a\tilde{h}^c_b - \nabla^c\tilde{h}_{ab}\right) \tag{26}$$

and consequently

$$\delta\left(\Box\phi\right) = \xi\Box\phi + \phi\Box\xi + 2\nabla_c\xi\nabla^c\phi - \tilde{h}^{cd}\nabla_c\nabla_d\phi - \gamma^c\phi_c \tag{27}$$

with $\gamma^c = g^{ab}\gamma^c_{ab}$. We adopt the Lorentz gauge condition,

$$\nabla_c\tilde{h}^c_a - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_a\tilde{h} = 0, \tag{28}$$

in which case

$$\gamma^c = g^{ab}\gamma^c_{ab} = 0 \tag{29}$$

and simply drop the last term in eq. (27). We can express this gauge condition in terms of the effective 3D-fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a}W_{\alpha,\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2}(\dot{f} + \dot{\psi}) + H(f + 3\psi) \\ \frac{1}{a}f_{\alpha\beta,\beta} &= \dot{W}_\alpha + 4HW_\alpha + \frac{1}{2a}(f - \psi)_{,\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The field equations governing the local scalar field is

$$\xi\Box\phi + \phi\Box\xi + 2\nabla^a\xi\nabla_a\phi - \tilde{h}^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi = \frac{8\pi}{2\omega + 3}\delta T \tag{31}$$

But

$$\tilde{h}^{cd}\nabla_c\nabla_d\phi = \tilde{h}^{00}\ddot{\phi} - \tilde{h}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^0_{\alpha\beta}\dot{\phi} = -\psi\Box\phi - 3H\dot{\phi}\psi - H\dot{\phi}f \tag{32}$$

where $f \equiv \sum_\alpha f_{\alpha\alpha}$. Then

$$(f + \psi)\Box\phi + \phi\Box\xi - 2\dot{\phi}\dot{\xi} + H(f + 3\psi)\dot{\phi} = \frac{8\pi}{2\omega + 3}\delta T \tag{33}$$

Clearly $\delta T = \delta(3p - \rho) = -\rho_G$ is the local energy already discussed. From eq. (2)

$$\begin{aligned} &(f + \psi)\frac{8\pi}{(2\omega + 3)\phi}(-\rho + 3p) + \Box\xi - 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\dot{\xi} \\ &+ \ H(f + 3\psi)\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} = -\frac{8\pi}{(2\omega + 3)\phi}\rho_G \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We translate back our equations in terms of r-frame variables. In contrast to the comoving derivative $\xi_{,\alpha} =$

$\partial\xi/\partial x^\alpha$ we define the local derivative $\partial_\alpha\xi = \partial\xi/\partial r^\alpha$. Then

$$[\xi(ax^\alpha)]_{,\alpha} = a\partial_\alpha[\xi(r^\alpha)] \tag{35}$$

and as the rule of the thumb we automatically replace everywhere $\partial/\partial x^\alpha \to a\partial/\partial r^\alpha$. Furthermore

$$\frac{\partial\xi(a\vec{x})}{\partial t} = H\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\xi \tag{36}$$

Then

$$\Box\xi(a\vec{x}) = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - H^2 r_\alpha r_\beta)\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi - 4H^2\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\xi \tag{37}$$

With the the replacement

$$\dot{\phi}/\phi \to \eta H \quad ; \quad \phi^{-1} \to G \quad \text{and} \quad 8\pi\rho_c/\phi \to 3H^2 \tag{38}$$

the scalar field equation (eq. (34)) looks in its final form

$$H^2\left[\frac{3}{(2\omega+3)}(\Omega_M + 4\Omega_\Lambda)(\xi+\psi) - (f+3\psi)\eta + 2(\eta+2)\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\xi\right] - (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - H^2 r^\alpha r^\beta)\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi = \frac{8\pi G}{2\omega+3}\rho_G \quad . \tag{39}$$

The field equations for the gravitational field are given by the linear perturbations of Einstein's equations:

$$\delta R_{ab} = 8\pi\delta S_{ab} \tag{40}$$

where

$$\delta S_{ab} \equiv \frac{\delta S^M_{ab} - \xi S^M_{ab}}{\phi} + \delta S^\phi_{ab} \quad . \tag{41}$$

Let me start with the lhs. We borrow from MTW [12]:

$$\delta R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\nabla_a\nabla_b\tilde{h} - \nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde{h}_{ab} + \nabla^c\nabla_a\tilde{h}_{bc} + \nabla^c\nabla_b\tilde{h}_{ac}\right), \tag{42}$$

and rewrite the divergence of the gauge condition [eq. (28)] in the form

$$\nabla_c\nabla_b\tilde{h}^c_a = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_b\nabla_a\tilde{h} + [\,\nabla_c\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_c]\,\tilde{h}^c_a \quad . \tag{43}$$

With the rule for the commutation of derivates for $(1,1)$ tensors

$$[\,\nabla_c\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_c]\,\tilde{h}^c_a = R_{db}\tilde{h}^d_a + R_{adcb}\tilde{h}^{cd} \tag{44}$$

it follows that

$$\nabla_c\nabla_b\tilde{h}^c_a + \nabla_c\nabla_a\tilde{h}^c_b = \nabla_b\nabla_a\tilde{h} + R_{db}\tilde{h}^{da} + R_{da}\tilde{h}^{db} + 2R^a_{dcb}\tilde{h}^{cd}, \tag{45}$$

and then

$$\delta R_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{db}\tilde{h}^d_a + R_{da}\tilde{h}^d_b + 2R_{adcb}\tilde{h}^{cd} - \nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde{h}_{ab}\right). \tag{46}$$

This expression is quite general. For a homogenous and isotropic background the Weyl tensor vanishes, and the Riemann tensor is entirely described by the Ricci curvature :

$$R_{adcb} = \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ac}R_{db} - g_{ab}R_{cd} - g_{dc}R_{ba} + g_{bd}R_{ca}\right) \tag{47}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6}R\left(g_{ab}g_{cd} - g_{ac}g_{db}\right). \tag{48}$$

In that case

$$\delta R_{ab} = R_{cb}\tilde{h}^c_a + R_{ca}\tilde{h}^c_b - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{ab}R_{cd}\tilde{h}^{cd} + \tilde{h}R_{ab}\right) \tag{49}$$

$$- \frac{1}{6}(\tilde{h}_{ab} - g_{ab}\tilde{h})R - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_c\nabla^c\tilde{h}_{ab} \quad . \tag{50}$$

Our next step, is to express δR_{ab} in terms of the fields $f_{\alpha\beta}, W_\alpha$ and ψ according to their definitions [eq.(21)]. Furthemore we use the field equations of the unperturbed fields [eqs. (16),(17) and (18)] obtaining

$$\delta R_{00} = -\left[8\pi\frac{3\omega p + (\omega+3)\rho}{2\omega+3)\phi} + \omega\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi^2} - 3H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right]\psi - \left[8\pi\frac{(1+\omega/3)\rho + \omega p}{(2\omega+3)\phi} + \frac{\omega}{3}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi^2} - H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right]f - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde{h}_{00} \tag{51}$$

$$\delta R_{0\alpha} = -a\left[8\pi\frac{(2+\omega/3)\rho + 3\omega p}{(2\omega+3)\phi} + \frac{5\omega}{6}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi^2} - 2H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right]W_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde{h}_{0\alpha} \tag{52}$$

$$\delta R_{\alpha\beta} = a^2\left[8\pi\frac{(\frac{5\omega}{3}+2)\rho - \omega p}{(2\omega+3)\phi} - 2H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \frac{\omega}{6}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi^2}\right]f_{\alpha\beta} - a^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left[\frac{8\pi}{(2\omega+3)\phi}\left[(\omega/3+1)\rho + \omega p)\right] - H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \frac{\omega}{3}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi^2}\right]\psi$$

$$- \; a^2\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left[\frac{8\pi}{3\phi}\rho - H\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi} + \frac{\omega}{6}\frac{\dot\phi^2}{\phi^2}\right] f - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde h_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{53}$$

Furthermore,

$$\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde h_{00} \;=\; a^{-2}\nabla^2\psi - \ddot\psi - 3H\dot\psi + 6H^2\psi - 4Ha^{-1}W_{\alpha,\alpha} + 2H^2 f \tag{54}$$

$$\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde h_{\alpha 0} \;=\; a\left[a^{-2}\nabla^2 W_\alpha - \ddot W_\alpha - 3H\dot W_\alpha + 6H^2 W_\alpha - 2Ha^{-1}\psi_{,\alpha} - 2Ha^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta,\beta}\right] \tag{55}$$

$$\nabla^c\nabla_c\tilde h_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; a^2\left[a^{-2}\nabla^2 f_{\alpha\beta} - \ddot f_{\alpha\beta} - 3H\dot f_{\alpha\beta} + 2H^2 f_{\alpha\beta} - 2Ha^{-1}(W_{\alpha,\beta}+W_{\beta,\alpha}) + 2H^2\psi\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right] \quad . \tag{56}$$

The linear variation of eqs. (17) and (18) provide the source terms of the gravitational field equations:

$$\delta S^M_{ab} = \rho_M(V_a u_b + V_b u_a) + \frac{2\rho_\Lambda + \rho_M}{2}\tilde h_{ab} + \rho_G(V_a V_b + \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}) \tag{57}$$

together with

$$\delta S^\phi_{ab} = \frac{\omega+1}{8\pi\phi}\left(\nabla_a\xi\nabla_b\phi + \nabla_b\xi\nabla_a\phi\right) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\nabla_a\nabla_b\xi - \frac{1}{2\phi(2\omega+3)}\left(g_{ab}\rho_G + (\tilde h_{ab} - g_{ab}\xi)(4\rho_\Lambda + \rho_M)\right) - \frac{1}{8\pi}\gamma^0_{ab}\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi} \quad . \tag{58}$$

Working out the components

$$8\pi\delta S_{00} \;=\; \frac{\dot\phi}{2\phi}\dot\psi + \ddot\xi + 2(\omega+1)\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi}\dot\xi + \frac{8\pi}{\phi}\left[\frac{\omega+2}{2\omega+3}\rho_G + \frac{(2\omega+1)\rho_\Lambda - (3\omega+5)\rho_M}{2\omega+3}\psi + \frac{(2\omega+1)\rho_\Lambda - (\omega+2)\rho_M}{2\omega+3}\xi\right] \tag{59}$$

$$8\pi\delta S_{\alpha 0} \;=\; a\left[\frac{8\pi}{\phi}\frac{(2\omega+1)\rho_\Lambda - (\omega+2)\rho_M}{2\omega+3} - H\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi}\right]W_\alpha + a\frac{8\pi}{\phi}\rho_M H r_\alpha + \left[(\omega+1)\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi} - H\right]\xi_{,\alpha} + \dot\xi_{,\alpha} + \frac{\dot\phi}{2\phi}\psi_{,\alpha} \tag{60}$$

$$8\pi\delta S_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; a^2\left[\frac{8\pi}{\phi}\frac{(2\omega+1)\rho_\Lambda + (\omega+1)\rho_M}{2\omega+3} - H\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi}\right]f_{\alpha\beta} + a\frac{\dot\phi}{2\phi}\left(W_{\alpha,\beta} + W_{\beta,\alpha}\right) \tag{61}$$

$$- \; a^2\frac{\dot\phi}{2\phi}\dot f_{\alpha\beta} + a^2\left[\frac{8\pi}{\phi}\frac{\omega+1}{2\omega+3}\rho_G - \frac{8\pi}{\phi}\frac{(\omega+1)\rho_M + (2\omega+1)\rho_\Lambda}{2\omega+3}\xi - H\dot\xi - H\frac{\dot\phi}{\phi}\psi\right]\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \xi_{,\alpha\beta} \tag{62}$$

We shall put all the pieces together ,(51)-(53) with eqs. (54)-(56) and (59)). We use the gauge conditions (eqs.(30)) and the replacements (36), (38). The 'scalar equation' that arises from the 00 component is

$$H^2\left(A\psi + Bf + C\xi\right) + H^2(3 - \frac{1}{2}\eta)\vec r\cdot\vec\partial\psi + H^2\vec r\cdot\vec\partial f - H^2\left(2(\omega+1)\eta + 1\right)\vec r\cdot\vec\partial\xi - H^2 r_\alpha r_\beta\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi \tag{63}$$

$$- \; \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\alpha\beta} - H^2 r_\alpha r_\beta)\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\psi = \frac{\omega+2}{2\omega+3}8\pi G\rho_G \tag{64}$$

while the vector equation that arises from the 0α component is

$$H^2 DW_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\beta\gamma} - H^2 r_\beta r_\gamma\right)\partial_\beta\partial_\gamma W_\alpha + 3H^2\vec r\cdot\vec\partial W_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}H\partial_\alpha f + \frac{1-\eta}{2}H\partial_\alpha\psi - H(\omega+1)\eta\partial_\alpha\xi - H\vec r\cdot\vec\partial\partial_\alpha\xi = 3\Omega_M H^3 r_\alpha. \tag{65}$$

Last, the tensor equation from the $\alpha\beta$ component can be simplified with the aid of Friedman's equation [eq.(13)]

$$-H^2 f_{\alpha\beta} + H^2\left(P\psi + Q\xi + \vec r\cdot\vec\partial\xi\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + H\left(\frac{2-\eta}{2}\right)(\partial_\alpha W_\beta + \partial_\beta W_\alpha) + \left(\frac{\eta}{2}+2\right)H^2\vec r\cdot\vec\partial f_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi - \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - H^2 r_\mu r_\nu\right)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu f_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\omega}{2} \tag{66}$$

where we defined the numerical coefficients coefficients :

$$A \;=\; 6\frac{(\omega+1)\Omega_M - 2\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3} - \omega\eta^2 + 3(\eta+1) \tag{67}$$

$$B = -\frac{(\omega+3)\Omega_M+(3-2\omega)\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3}-\frac{\omega\eta^2}{3}+\eta+1 \quad (68)$$

$$C = 3\frac{(\omega+2)\Omega_M-(2\omega+1)\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3} \quad (69)$$

$$D = \frac{2\omega\Omega_M+(2\omega-9)\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3}-5\frac{\omega\eta^2}{6}+3\eta-1 \quad (70)$$

$$P = \frac{(\omega+3)\Omega_M-(2\omega-3)\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3}-2\eta+\frac{\omega}{3}\eta^2+1 \quad (71)$$

$$Q = 3\frac{(\omega+1)\Omega_M+(2\omega+1)\Omega_\Lambda}{2\omega+3} \quad (72)$$

Solving the equations by Perturbation

At this stage a remark of caution is in order. Albeit the perturbation fields ψ, W_α and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ stand for $\tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}$, are functions of the local coordinate $\vec{r}$, they are still metric perturbations in the x-frame [see eqs. (20),(21)]:

$$ds^2=\tilde{g}^{(0)}_{ab}dx^adx^b+\psi dt^2+2aW_\alpha dx^\alpha dt+a^2f_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta \quad (73)$$

Transforming back to the r-frame:

$$ds^2=g^{(0)}_{ab}dr^adr^b-(\psi+2HW_\alpha r^\alpha-H^2f_{\alpha\beta}r^\alpha r^\beta)dt^2+2(W_\alpha-Hf_{\alpha\beta}r^\alpha)dr^\alpha dt+f_{\alpha\beta}dr^\alpha dr^\beta \quad (74)$$

Clearly

$$h_{00} = -\psi-2HW_\alpha r^\alpha+H^2f_{\alpha\beta}r^\alpha r^\beta$$
$$h_{0\alpha} = W_\alpha-Hf_{\alpha\beta}r^\beta$$
$$h_{\alpha\beta} = f_{\alpha\beta} \quad (75)$$

We shall consider spherically symmetric configurations alone. In this case

$$W_\alpha=W(r)\hat{r}_\alpha \quad ; \quad f_{\alpha\beta}=A(r)\delta_{\alpha\beta}+B(r)\hat{r}_\alpha\hat{r}_\beta \quad (76)$$

where A, B and W are 'scalar fields'. Then

$$\partial^2W_\alpha = \left(\partial^2W-2\frac{W}{r^2}\right)\hat{r}_\alpha \quad (77)$$

$$\partial^2f_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\partial^2A+\frac{2B}{r^2}\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}+\left(\partial^2B-\frac{6B}{r^2}\right)\hat{r}_\alpha\hat{r}_\beta \quad (78)$$

and also

$$\partial_\alpha W_\alpha = W'+\frac{2W}{r}$$
$$\partial_\beta f_{\alpha\beta} = \left(A'+B'+\frac{2B}{r}\right)\hat{r}_\alpha \quad (79)$$

Next we introduce these expressions into the their corresponding equations (64) , (64)-(66) and solve them perturbatively in powers of H. The zeroth order satisfying the gauge conditions is

$$\xi^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2\omega+3}\frac{2MG}{r} \quad (80)$$

$$\psi^{(0)} = \frac{\omega+2}{2\omega+3}\frac{4GM}{r} \quad (81)$$

$$f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2GM}{r}\delta_{\alpha\beta}+\frac{\omega+1}{2\omega+3}\frac{2MG}{r}\hat{r}_\alpha\hat{r}_\beta \quad (82)$$

$$W^{(0)}_\alpha = 0 \quad (83)$$

The easiest way of getting W is by substituting the previous results into the gauge condition (30) . From now on we drop numerical coefficients, then

$$\partial_\alpha W^{(1)}_\alpha\sim\frac{MG}{r} \quad (84)$$

and by virtue of (79) it follows that $W\sim MG$ and no r-dependence and then

$$W^{(1)}_\alpha\sim MG\hat{r}^\alpha. \quad (85)$$

To the second order we have

$$\partial^2\psi^{(2)}=2\left(A\psi^{(0)}+Bf^{(0)}+C\xi^{(0)}\right)+2(3-\frac{1}{2}\eta)\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\psi^{(0)}+2\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}f^{(0)}-2\left(2(\omega+1)\eta+1\right)\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\xi^{(0)}+r_\alpha r_\beta\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta(\psi^{(0)}-2\xi^{(0)}) \quad (86)$$

$$\partial^2f^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}=2f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}+2\left(P\psi^{(0)}+Q\xi^{(0)}+\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\xi^{(0)}\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta}+(2-\eta)\left(\partial_\alpha W^{(1)}_\beta+\partial_\beta W^{(1)}_\alpha\right)+(\eta+4)\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}-2\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi^{(0)}+r_\mu r_\nu\partial_\mu\partial_\nu f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (87)$$

and

$$\partial^2\xi^{(2)}=\frac{3}{(2\omega+3)}(\Omega_M+4\Omega_\Lambda)(\xi^{(0)}+\psi^{(0)})-\eta(f^{(0)}+3\psi^{(0)})+2(\eta+2)\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\xi^{(0)}+r^\alpha r^\beta\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta\xi^{(0)} \quad (88)$$

whose solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(2)} &\sim MGr; \\ \xi^{(2)} &\sim MGr \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

$$f^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta} \sim MGr(\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \hat{r}_\alpha \hat{r}_\beta)$$

at higher orders

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 W^{(3)} &= 2W^{(1)}_\alpha + r_\beta r_\gamma \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta W^{(1)} + 6\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial} W^{(1)}_\alpha + \partial_\alpha f^{(2)} + (1-\eta)\partial_\alpha \psi^{(2)} \\ &- 2(\omega+1)\eta\partial_\alpha \xi^{(2)} - 2\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\partial_\alpha \xi^{(2)} - 6\Omega_M r_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Acccordingly,

$$W^{(3)}_\alpha \sim (MGr^2 - r^3)\hat{r}_\alpha. \tag{91}$$

The fourth order equations for $\psi^{(4)}$ and $\xi^{(4)}$ are identical to (87),(88) and therefore

$$\psi^{(4)} \sim MGr^3 \quad ; \quad \xi^{(4)} \sim MGr^3. \tag{92}$$

Thus by virtue of eq. (75),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &\sim -1 + H^2 r^2 + \frac{\omega+2}{2\omega+3}\frac{4GM}{r} + H^2 MGr \\ &- H^4 r^4 + H^4 MGr^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

The term $H^2 r^2$ arrives from the coordinate transformation from the x frame to r-frame [see eq (75)]. Comparison with the Newtonian potential term GM/r tells that it becomes relevant as $r^3 \sim MGH^{-2}$ or $r \sim 400kpc$ for a typical galaxy. On the same grounds, he correction $H^2 MGr$ becomes relevant only at the Hubble distance $r \sim H$. Notice that there are no linear terms on H that could bring about relevant corrections to the local dynamics.

SPINOR FIELD

In Brans-Dicke theory the lowest order in H corrections of the field equations are quadratic in the Hubble constant. We wonder if a spinor field, whose energy momentum tensor contains first derivatives of the spinor field could remedy the problem and yield larger contributions. Since we agreed upon not to settle the scale of a_0 through external given parameters, we concentrate on a massless particle. All non-zero momentum modes can be swept into the energy momentum tensor of the matter distribution and the discussion is similar to that of the previous section. Nevertheless, the zero mode has no particle content and must be dealt separately. We think this mode as being a cosmological substrate that is deformed in the presence of a mass distribution and calculate its contribution to the energy-momentum tensor.

In a curved space- time the Dirac equation reads

$$\left[i\gamma^a e^m_{(a)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{1}{4} C_{mbc}\gamma^b\gamma^c \right) - m \right] \Psi = 0 \tag{94}$$

where $e^{(a)}_m$ $a = 1, \dots, 4$ are the four tetrads (the index in bracket is a Lorentz index and the other one is the space-time component),

$$g_{mn} = e^{(a)}_m e^{(b)}_n \eta_{ab}; \tag{95}$$

Bracketed indexes of the tetrads are raised/lowered with η_{ab}, unbracketed indexes with the space-time metric g_{mn}. and γ^a are the Dirac matrixes

$$\left\{ \gamma^a, \gamma^b \right\} = 2\eta^{ab} \tag{96}$$

and the spin connection is defined as

$$C_{m(a)(b)} = e_{(a)}{}^n e_{(c)n;m} \tag{97}$$

Furthermore, one defines the derivative operator

$$D_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m} + \frac{1}{4} C_{mbc}\gamma^b\gamma^c. \tag{98}$$

The energy momentum tensor is

$$T_{mn} = \left(\frac{i}{4} e_{(a)m} \bar{\Psi}\gamma^a D_n \Psi + c.c. \right) + m \leftrightarrow n \tag{99}$$

where the swapping $m \leftrightarrow n$ of indexes is carried for symmetrisation. The tetrads of the Robertson-Walker metric are diagonal:

$$e^{(0)}_0 = 1 \quad ; \quad e^{(\alpha)}_\beta = a\delta^\alpha_\beta \tag{100}$$

where Greek indexes run over the spatial components and $a = a(t)$ is the cosmological radius scale . In this case the only non-vanishing components of spin-connection are

$$C_{\alpha 0\beta} = -\dot{a}\delta_{\alpha\beta} \tag{101}$$

after some algebra the Dirac Equation reads

$$\left[i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a^{-1}\gamma^0 \vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a} \right) - \gamma^0 m \right] \Psi = 0 \tag{102}$$

where $\vec{\nabla}_\alpha = \partial/\partial x^\alpha$

The generic solution is of the form $\Psi = \Phi(t)e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$. For a massless and zero momentum configuration, $\Psi(t) = \Psi_0 a^{-3/2}$ with Ψ_0 a constant spinor. The energy-momentum components are

$$T_{00} = i\frac{3H}{4}\Psi^\dagger\Psi + c.c = 0 \quad (103)$$

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = -i\frac{\dot{a}}{4}\Psi^\dagger\Psi\delta_{\alpha\beta} + c.c = 0 \quad (104)$$

since $\Psi^\dagger\Psi$ is real . Thus the zero mode (substrate) does not modify the cosmological dynamics.

Consider now the perturbations generated by the local gravitational field. The departure of the spinor from the cosmological background is here defined as $\Psi + \delta\Psi = a^{-3/2}(\Psi_0 + \Theta)$ and the tetrad variation $\delta e_m^{(a)} = \varepsilon_m^{(a)}$ such that

$$\tilde{h}_{mn} = \varepsilon_m^{(a)} e_{(a)n} + \varepsilon_n^{(a)} e_{(a)n} \quad (105)$$

Last, we define $\sigma_{mab} = \delta C_{mab}$. One shows that

$$\sigma_{mab} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{(c)n}\left(e_{(a)n}C_{mab} - e_{(b)n}C_{mac}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(e^n_{(a)}\varepsilon_{(b)n;m} - e^n_{(b)}\varepsilon_{(a)n;m}\right) + \frac{1}{2}e^l_{(b)}e^p_{(a)}\left(\tilde{h}_{mp;l} - \tilde{h}_{ml:p}\right) \quad (106)$$

Then the perturbed Dirac equation reads

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - a^{-1}\gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla} + \frac{3\dot{a}}{2a}\right) + i\gamma^0 m\right]a^{-3/2}\Theta = -\gamma^0\left[\gamma^a\varepsilon^m_{(a)}\partial_m + \frac{1}{4}\left(\varepsilon^m_{(a)}\partial_m C_{mbc} - e^m_{(a)}\sigma_{mbc}\right)\gamma^b\gamma^c\right]\Psi \quad (107)$$

To proceed further we specify the pertubation of the tetrad:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon_{(0)0} &= \psi \\ \varepsilon_{(0)\alpha} + \varepsilon_{(\alpha)0} &= W_\alpha \\ \varepsilon_{(\beta)\alpha} + \varepsilon_{(\alpha)\beta} &= a f_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Since the tetrad $\varepsilon_{(0)a}$ is time-like, through a Lorentz transformation we can eliminate all the spatial components $\varepsilon_{(0)\alpha}$. Thus, in this particular Lorentz frame $\varepsilon_{(0)\alpha} = 0$ and

$$\varepsilon_{(0)0} = \frac{1}{2}\psi \quad ; \quad \varepsilon_{(\alpha)0} = W_\alpha \quad ; \quad \varepsilon_{(\alpha)\beta} = \frac{a}{2}f_{\alpha\beta} \quad (109)$$

Inserting these tetrads into eq.(106), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{00\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2a}\psi_{,\alpha} - HW_\alpha \\ \sigma_{0\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2a}\left(W_{\alpha,\beta} - W_{\beta,\alpha}\right) \\ \sigma_{\alpha 0\beta} &= \frac{a}{2}\left(a^{-1}\left(W_{\alpha,\beta} + W_{\beta,\alpha}\right) - Hf_{\alpha\beta} - \dot{f}_{\alpha\beta} - H\psi\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ \sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \frac{a}{2}\left(a^{-1}\left(f_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} - f_{\alpha\gamma,\beta}\right) + H\left(\delta_{\alpha\beta}W_\gamma - \delta_{\alpha\gamma}W_\beta\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Inserting eqs. (109) and (110) into (107), while recalling the substitution $a^{-1}\partial/\partial x^\alpha = \partial/\partial r^\alpha$ leads after some algebra to

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\partial}\right]\Theta = \left[\left(\frac{1}{4}\dot{f} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha W_\alpha\right) + \left(\frac{H}{2}W_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\beta f_{\alpha\beta}\right)\gamma^0\gamma^\alpha - \frac{i}{4}\partial_\beta W_\alpha\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right]\Psi_0 \quad (111)$$

where $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = i[\gamma^\alpha, \gamma^\beta]/2$ and $m = 0$.

The time-dependent solution $\Theta = \theta(\vec{r})e^{-iEt}$ is not consistent with the rhs, unless $E = 0$. This is agreement with the fact that we regard Θ as a distortion of the minimum energy configuration Ψ (the substrate) due to the local gravitational field. Recalling that $\partial/\partial t$ is a derivative with $\vec{x}$-constant of a function that depends on $\vec{r}$, we can replace $\partial/\partial t \to H\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}$

$$\left[H\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial} - \gamma^0\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\partial}\right]\Theta = \left[\left(\frac{H}{4}\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}f - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha W_\alpha\right) + \left(\frac{H}{2}W_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\beta f_{\alpha\beta}\right)\gamma^0\gamma^\alpha - \frac{i}{4}\partial_\beta W_\alpha\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right]\Psi_0 \quad (112)$$

In the spirit of the previous discussions, we solve the equation perturbatively :

$$\Theta = \Theta^{(0)} + H\Theta^{(1)} + H^2\Theta^{(1)} + \cdots \quad (113)$$

As in the previous section, W_α starts at the order$\sim \mathcal{O}(H)$ (it is related to $T_{0\alpha}$ equation and it vanishes for a static configuration). Then to the lowest order in H

$$\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\partial}\Theta^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{4}\gamma^\beta\partial_\alpha f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}\Psi_0 \tag{114}$$

Applying $\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\partial}$ on both sides

$$\partial^2\Theta^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{4}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\gamma^\beta\partial_\alpha f^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}\Psi_0 \tag{115}$$

whose solution is

$$\Theta^{(0)} = -\gamma^\alpha\gamma^\beta F_{\alpha\beta}\Psi_0 \tag{116}$$

where

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int\frac{\partial'_\alpha\partial'_\mu {f^{(0)}}'_{\mu\beta}}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}d^3r' \tag{117}$$

and primed functions means they are expressed in terms of $\vec{r}'$. Expanding he spinor equation (112) to the first order in H reads

$$\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\partial}\Theta^{(1)} = \left[\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}\gamma^\alpha\gamma^\beta F_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\partial}f^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha W^{(1)}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\beta f^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\alpha - \frac{i}{4}\partial_\beta W^{(1)}_\alpha\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\right]\gamma^0\Psi_0 \tag{118}$$

The energy momentum tensor corresponding to disturbance of the cosmological substrate is

$$\begin{aligned}\delta T_{mn} &= \left\{\left[\frac{i}{4}\varepsilon_{(a)m}\left(\bar\Psi\gamma^a\partial_n\Psi + \frac{1}{4}C_{nab}\bar\Psi\gamma^a\gamma^b\gamma^c\Psi\right) + \frac{i}{16}e_{(a)m}\sigma_{nbc}\bar\Psi\gamma^a\gamma^b\gamma^c\Psi\right.\right.\\ &+ \left.\left. a^{-3/2}\frac{i}{4}e_{(a)m}\left(\bar\Theta\gamma^a D_n\Psi + \bar\Psi\gamma^a D_n\Theta\right)\right] + c.c\right\} + \text{m}\leftrightarrow\text{n}\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

We are mainly interested in the δT_{00} component. Recalling that $C_{0ab} = 0$, $\Psi(t) = (a_0/a)^{3/2}\Psi_0$ we get

$$\delta T_{00} = \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{3/2}\left[-i\frac{3H}{4}\varepsilon_{(a)0}\bar\Psi_0\gamma^a\Psi_0 - \frac{i}{8}\sigma_{0\beta\gamma}\bar\Psi_0\gamma^0\gamma^\beta\gamma^\gamma\Psi_0 + \frac{i}{4}\sigma_{00\alpha}\bar\Psi_0\gamma^\alpha\Psi_0 + \frac{i}{2}\left(-\frac{3H}{2}\Theta^\dagger\Psi_0 + {\Psi_0}^\dagger\partial_0\Theta\right)\right] + c.c \tag{120}$$

Now $\bar\Psi\gamma^a\Psi$ is real and the current $\bar\Psi_0\gamma^\alpha\Psi_0 = 0$ since there is no preferred cosmological direction. Furthermore, for a spherical symmetrical configuration $\sigma_{0\alpha\beta} = 0$ [see eq.(110)], thus

$$\delta T_{00} = i\frac{H}{2}\left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{3/2}\left(-\frac{3}{2}\Theta^\dagger\Psi_0 + \vec{r}\cdot\vec{\nabla}{\Psi_0}^\dagger\Theta\right) + c.c \tag{121}$$

To the first order in H we need only Θ_0 [eq.(116],

$$\delta T_{00} \sim iHF_{\alpha\beta}\Psi_0^\dagger\gamma^\alpha\gamma^\beta\Psi_0 + cc \tag{122}$$

Clearly, in a spherical symmetrical configuration $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric, thus

$$\delta T_{00} \sim iHF\Psi_0^\dagger\Psi_0 + c.c = 0 \quad , \tag{123}$$

where $F = \sum_\alpha F_{\alpha\alpha}$. Accordingly, a spinor cannot induce a first order in H correction to the Newtonian potential.

Unforseenably, none of the field theories studied in this paper can produce odd corrections in H to the local gravitational fields and therefore, cannot bring about substantial corrections to the local dynamics.

In the lack of a general principle forbidding odd powers in H, it is conceivable that some field theory could bring about odd powers in the H-expansion. Should such a theory exist, the lowest order corrections are linear in H and on dimensional grounds

$$\psi \sim -\frac{MG}{r} + Hr + HMG\ln(r) + \dots \tag{124}$$

Accordingly, the velocity profile, away from the mass distribution would be

$$v^2 \sim \frac{MG}{r} + Hr + MGH + \cdots \tag{125}$$

The last term yields flat rotation curves, but comparing to the Newtonian term reveals that it becomes relevant only at scales $r_0 \sim H^{-1}$, thus meaningless. The second term gives a linearly growing velocity curve at a very much small slope such that could be mistakenly taken for a flat rotation curve at galactic scales. Furthermore, comparison with the Newtonian potential reveals that it becomes relevant at scales $r_0 \sim (MG/H)^{0.5} \sim 5kpc$ for

a typical galaxy. At the $r \sim r_0$ region where there is dynamical transition from the Newtonian behaviour to the Hr term the velocity scales is $v_0^4 \sim M^2G^2/r_0^2 \sim MGH$, which is nothing but Tully-Fisher's Law ! Furthermore, the corresponding acceleration scale in this region $a_0 \sim v_0^2/r_0 \sim H$. Needless to say the utmost importance of scrutinizing field theories that could bring about linear corrections in H to the gravitational potential or either showing that odd term corrections are forbidden.

acknowledgements

I am grateful to Prof. J. D. Bekenstein for enlightening discussions.

* Electronic address: schiffer@ariel.ac.il

[1] Sanders, R. H. and McGaugh, S. S. 2002 Modifed Newtonian Dynamics as an Alternative to Dark Matter Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 40, 263-317. (DOI 10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093923)

[2] Navarro, J. F., Frenk, C. S. and White, S. D. M. "The structure of cold dark matter halos". Astrophys. J. 463, 563-575 (2006); DOI 10.1086/177173

[3] Milgrom, M. "A modication of the Newtonian dynamics - Implications for galaxies". Astrophys. J. 270, 371-389, (1983); DOI 10.1086/161131

[4] Milgrom, M. "A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis". Astrophysical Journal 270: 365370, (1983) DOI: 10.1086/161130

[5] Jacob D. Bekenstein, "Tensor-Vector-Scalar modied gravity: from small scale to cosmology". Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society A Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences; 369(1957):5003-17 (2011). DOI:10.1098/rsta.2011.0282

[6] Jacob D. Bekenstein, "Relativistic gravitation theory for the modified Newtonian dynamics paradigm", Phys. Rev. D 70 (8): 083509, (2004) DOI:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.083509, arXiv:astro-ph/0403694,

[7] The Tensor-Vector-Scalar theory and its cosmology - Skordis, Constantinos Class.Quant.Grav. 26 , 143001 (2009) arXiv:0903.3602

[8] Garry W. Angus, Huanyuan Shan, Hongsheng Zhao, Benoit Famaey, "On the Law of Gravity, the Mass of Neutrinos and the Proof of Dark Matter", Astrophys.J.654:L13-L16 (2007), DOI: 10.1086/510738

[9] Jacob D. Bekenstein (2006). "The modified Newtonian dynamics-MOND-and its implications for new physics". Contemporary Physics 47: 387. doi:10.1080/00107510701244055

[10] Noerslinger, P. D., Petrosian, V. 1971, ApJ, 168, 1

[11] Disks in Expanding FRW Universes A. Feinstein et al. Astrophys. J 495 131 (1998) DOI: 10.1086/305276

[12] Chales W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne and John Archibald Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman:1973